



11-08-07

9624

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the U.S. first class Express Mail: EB 564160180 US, postage prepaid and addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date set forth below.

Date of Signature: November 7, 2007

John C. Abendroth, Inventor and Applicant:

**PATENT**

Docket No. 100036.00002

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: John C. Abendroth, Inventor and Applicant  
11225 N. Prairie View Lane  
Mequon, WI 53092  
(414) 517-3101 Cell Phone  
(262) 242-6106 Fax  
E-mail: jabendroth@jcambax.com

Initial submittals by: Michael J. McGovern  
Quarles & Brady, LLP  
411 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497  
(414) 277-5725  
Prior Attorney of Record

Appl. No.: 09/751,121

Filed: December 26, 2000

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR E-COMMERCE  
FREIGHT MANAGEMENT

Art Unit: 3624

Examiner:	Ella Colbert	Examiner's Supervisor:	Vincent Millin
	(571) 272-6741		(571) 272-6747
	(571) 273-8300 Organization Fax		

---

**REPLY TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED ON OCTOBER 9, 2007**

---

Reply to Office Action

Appl. No.: 09/751,121 Art Unit: 3624

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Filing the one revision of an individual deficiency in my July 14, 2007  
Reply to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Action mailed  
on October 9, 2007 being non-compliant with the Revised Amendment  
Practice: 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4 (4. Amendments to the claims: C.  
Providing the proper claim status identifier and E. Other: Claim 42 was  
inadvertently incorrectly identified as "Previously presented" when it should  
have been identified as "Currently amended", for it had been amended).

Sir:

As with my prior July 14, 2007 Reply, this reply is In response to the Office  
Action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office mailed on October 9,  
2007 indicating that my July 14, 2007 reply was not fully compliant due to the one  
incorrect claim identifier inadvertently indicated for Claim 42 (It was shown as  
Previously presented when it should have been indicated as Currently amended.)  
Again, the listing of all of the claims begins on Page 3. and is in ascending  
numerical order with the claims indicated as canceled being aggregated into one  
statement (e.g. Claims 1-31 (canceled)). The previously presented claims have  
been listed and the currently amended claims have now been submitted showing  
ONLY the amendments correcting the non-compliance as required by the Office  
Action mailed on December 13, 2006 in my Reply submitted on March 13, 2007  
and NOT also including the amendments as previously submitted in my May 30,  
2006 Reply to the Office Action mailed on May 2, 2006, as per the stated  
direction of the United States Patent and Trademark Office during the June 7,  
2007 Telephonic Interview by Examiner Ms. Ella Colbert.